



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,167	02/01/2001	Yasushi Kubota	55561 (820)	55561 (820) 7275	
21874 75	12/12/2006		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K		
P.O. BOX 5587 BOSTON, MA	'		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2629		
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006	DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/775,167	KUBOTA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2629		

zororo ano ramagon antique am zara	Examiner	Artonit	ł			
	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		=				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS .						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	••					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it hefere or on the date of filing a Ni	atica of Annoal will no	at he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
	l.m.	to hefter	out			
	Ollas	V				
	SUMA	ATI LEFKOWITZ				

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment of "an input control signal of the transfer gate..." and "the control signal being input into the transfer gate..." in claims 1 and 25, respectively, would change the scope of the claims and would require further search and consideration. Applicant is reminded that a proper response to a Final Rejection is either placing the application in conditions for allowance or a notice of appeal or a request for continued examination.